1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PUOY K. PREMSRIRUT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7141 BROWN BROWN & PREMSRIRUT 520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 384-5563 (702) 385-6965 Fax puoy@brownlawlv.com ROME & ASSOCIATES Eugene Rome, Esq. Brianna E. Dahlberg, Esq. 2029 Century Park East, Suite 450 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel. (310) 282-0690 erome@romeandassociates.com bdahlberg@romeandassociates.com		
10	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant NEW U LIFE CORPORATION		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
13 14	T1 PAYMENTS LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	CASE NO.: 2:19-cv-01816-APG-DJA	
15	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR NEW U LIFE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COUNTED OF AIM	
16	v.	SECOND AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND FOR COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSES	
17	NEW U LIFE CORPORATION, a California corporation,	THERETO	
18 19	Defendant.	(First Request)	
20	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM		
21			
22	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) and	Local Rules IA 6-1, L.R. 26-3, Defendant and	
23	Counterclaimant New U Life Corporation ("New U Life"), Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant		
24	T1 Payments LLC and Counterclaim-Defendants T1 Payments Limited, TGlobal Services Limited		
25	Donald Kasdon, Amber Fairchild, and Debra King (the "T1 Parties") and Counterclaim Defendan		
26	Payvision B.V. ("Payvision") (collectively, the "Parties") jointly request that the Court extend the		
27	deadlines established by the Court's Order of January 21, 2022 (ECF No. 194) as follows:		
28			

1533737.1

2 3 4

1

5

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15 16

17

18 19

21

20

2223

2425

26

2728

- That New U Life's deadline to file a second amended counterclaim (SACC) be extended from February 18, 2022 to April 4, 2022 (i.e., 10 days after the close of jurisdictional discovery);
- That the T1 Parties and Payvision be permitted 30 days to respond to the SACC; and
- That the T1 Parties need not answer or otherwise respond to the first amended counterclaim given that New U Life will file an amended counterclaim (ECF No. 85, FACC).

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On January 21, 2022, the Court issued its Order: (1) denying Payvision's motion to dismiss New U Life's counterclaims against it for lack of personal jurisdiction and *forum non conveniens*; (2) granting Payvision's motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim with leave to amend; and (3) granting in part the T1 Parties' motions to dismiss with leave to amend. ECF No. 194.

The Court further ordered that jurisdictional discovery¹ as to Payvision is open until March 25, 2022, and that within 30 days after jurisdictional discovery closes, Payvision may move to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction and *forum non conveniens*. *Id.* at 30. The Court further ordered that New U Life may file a SACC by February 18, 2022. *Id*.

II. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULE

On January 24, 2022, counsel for New U Life informed the Parties that it intends to file a SACC. On a telephonic conference on January 28, 2022, counsel for the T1 Parties and New U Life agreed that the T1 Parties need not answer nor otherwise respond to the FACC, which will be replaced by the SACC. The T1 Parties and New U Life further proposed that the jurisdictional discovery period be completed before New U Life files its SACC in order to promote efficiency for the Parties and the Court. By filing the SACC after the close of jurisdictional discovery, both the T1 Parties' and Payvision's responses to the SACC will be due on the same date, and any need for additional motions to amend the counterclaim for the fourth time or to supplement any motions

1533737.1 2

¹ In an Order dated July 7, 2021, the Court ordered discovery against Payvision stayed. (ECF No. 148). Except for the jurisdictional discovery permitted by its January 21, 2022 Order (ECF No. 194) the Court's July 7, 2021 Order remains in full force and effect.

1	based on the jurisdictional discovery can be avoided. The Parties agree that the proposed schedule		
2	adequately addresses these concerns and is in the best interest of all Parties and judicial efficiency		
3	Additionally, counsel for the T1 Parties and Payvision request that they be allowed 30 day		
4	to respond to New U Life's SACC due to the anticipated length of the pleading (New U Life's prio		
5	counterclaim consisted of 222 paragraphs). New U Life does not oppose this request.		
6	Accordingly, and for good cause shown, the Parties respectfully request that the Court gran		
7	the requested extensions of dates.		
8			
9	(Signature blocks on following page)		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1533737.1 3

Case 2:19-cv-01816-APG-DJA Document 200 Filed 02/03/22 Page 4 of 4

1	Dated this 1st day of February, 2022.	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3		By: /s/ Brianna Dahlberg
4		BROWN BROWN & PREMSRIRUT
5		Puoy K. Premsrirut ROME & ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.
		Brianna Dahlberg (pro hac vice)
6		Eugene Rome (pro hac vice)
7		Attorneys for New U Life Corporation
8		
9		/s/ P. Randy Seybold KAPLAN COTTNER
10		KAPLAN COTTNEK Kory L. Kaplan
11		VENABLE LLP
11		Ari N. Rothman (pro hac vice)
12		P. Randy Seybold (pro hac vice)
13		Jane B. Baber (pro hac vice)
13		Attorneys for T1 Payments LLC, T1 Payments
14		Ltd., TGlobal Services Ltd., Donald Kasdon,
15		Amber Fairchild, and Debra King
16		
17		/s/ Peter J. Diedrich GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER & SENET
1/		Richard Edward Haskin
18		MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP
19		Nathan D. O'Malley
20		Peter J. Diedrich
21		Attorneys for Payvision B.V.
22		
		IT IS SO ORDERED:
23		
24		DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
25		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		DATED: February 3, 2022
27		2
28		

1533737.1 4